table S-X  
comparison of SIGNIFICANT impacts of project to

impacts of alternatives

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Proposed Project | No Project Alternative | Alternative A: Title | Alternative B: Title |
| Description | Brief description of proposed project | Brief description of alternative | Brief description of alternative | Brief description of alternative |
| Ability to Meet Project Sponsor’s Objectives | Brief summary of degree to which proposed project meets sponsor’s objectives | Brief summary of degree to which alternative meets sponsor’s objectives | Brief summary of degree to which alternative meets sponsor’s objectives | Brief summary of degree to which alternative meets sponsor’s objectives |
| **Enviromental Topic** | | | | |
| Sub-topic | Impact statement. (Level of significance in parentheses) | Brief summary of significance of alternative’s unmitigated impact relative to proposed project. (Level of significance in parentheses) | Brief summary of significance of alternative’s unmitigated impact relative to proposed project. (Level of significance in parentheses) | Brief summary of significance of alternative’s unmitigated impact relative to proposed project. (Level of significance in parentheses) |
| *Example:* | | | | |
| **Cultural and Paleontological Resources** | | | | |
| Archeological Resources | Impact CP-4: The proposed project would cause a substantial adverse change in the significant of an archeological resource pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5. (SM) | No impact. (NI) | Substantially less than proposed project. (LTS) | Similar to but less than proposed project. (SM) |

**Legend**

NI No impact

LTS Less than significant or negligible impact; no mitigation required

SM Significant but mitigable

SU Significant and unavoidable adverse impact, no feasible mitigation

SUM Significant and unavoidable adverse impact, after mitigation