

# 1333 GOUGH STREET/1481 POST STREET PROJECT

## DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS.....</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>SUMMARY.....</b>	<b>S.1</b>
S.1    Project Synopsis .....	S.1
S.2    Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	S.3
S.3    Summary of Significant Impacts.....	S.30
S.4    Summary of Project Alternatives .....	S.30
S.5    Areas of Known Controversy and Issues to Be Resolved.....	S.40
<b>1.    INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1.1</b>
A.    Project Summary .....	1.1
B.    Purpose of This Environmental Impact Report.....	1.1
C.    Environmental Review Process.....	1.3
D.    Organization of this EIR.....	1.13
<b>2.    PROJECT DESCRIPTION.....</b>	<b>2.1</b>
A.    Project Overview.....	2.1
B.    Project Sponsor’s Objectives.....	2.2
C.    Project Location and Site .....	2.3
D.    Project Characteristics.....	2.8
<b>3.    PLANS AND POLICIES.....</b>	<b>3.1</b>
A.    Consistency with Applicable Plans and Policies.....	3.1
B.    San Francisco General Plan.....	3.2
C.    San Francisco Planning Code.....	3.3
<b>4.    ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING, IMPACTS, AND MITIGATION.....</b>	<b>4.1</b>
A.    Introduction .....	4.A.1
B.    Land Use and Land Use Planning.....	4.B.1
C.    Transportation and Circulation.....	4.C.1
D.    Noise.....	4.D.1
E.    Air Quality.....	4.E.1
F.    Wind and Shadow .....	4.F.1
<b>5.    OTHER CEQA CONSIDERATIONS.....</b>	<b>5.1</b>
A.    Growth-Inducing Impacts.....	5.1
B.    Significant Unavoidable Impacts .....	5.3
C.    Significant Irreversible Environmental Impacts.....	5.3
D.    Areas of Known Controversy and Issues to Be Resolved.....	5.6

**6. ALTERNATIVES .....6.1**

A. Introduction .....6.1

B. Alternative A: No Project Alternative .....6.1

C. Alternative B: Code-Compliant Alternative.....6.9

D. Alternative C: Reduced Height Alternative .....6.25

E. Alternative D: Reduced Tower Footprint and Height Alternative .....6.42

F. Environmentally Superior Alternative .....6.60

G. Alternatives Considered but Rejected .....6.60

**7. REPORT PREPARERS .....7.1**

A. EIR Authors.....7.1

B. EIR Consultants.....7.1

C. Project Sponsor .....7.2

**APPENDICES**

- Appendix A: Notice of Preparation / Initial Study
- Appendix B: Wind Study Tables

**LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 2.1: Project Location.....2.4

Figure 2.2: Existing Site Plan.....2.6

Figure 2.3: Proposed Ground Floor Plan .....2.10

Figure 2.4: Proposed 2nd Floor Plan.....2.11

Figure 2.5: Proposed Representative 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor through 29<sup>th</sup> Floor Tower Plan.....2.12

Figure 2.6: Proposed Representative 30<sup>th</sup> Floor through 33<sup>rd</sup> Floor Tower Plan.....2.13

Figure 2.7: Proposed Representative 34<sup>th</sup> Floor through 35<sup>th</sup> Floor Tower Plan.....2.14

Figure 2.8: Proposed Representative 36<sup>th</sup> Floor Tower Plan.....2.15

Figure 2.9: Proposed Mechanical and Penthouse Plan.....2.16

Figure 2.10: Proposed North (Post Street) Elevation .....2.18

Figure 2.11: Proposed East and West Elevations.....2.19

Figure 2.12: Proposed South (Geary Boulevard) Elevation.....2.20

Figure 2.13: Proposed Basement Level 1 Parking Plan .....2.25

Figure 2.14: Proposed Basement Level 2 Parking Plan .....2.26

Figure 2.15: Proposed Basement Levels 3 and 4 Parking Plan.....2.27

Figure 2.16: Variant A - Sidewalk Widening Project Variant .....2.31

Figure 2.17: Variant B - 1481 Post Street Curb Cut and Sidewalk Widening Project Variant.....2.33

Figure 2.18: Variant C - 1333 Gough Street Curb Cut and Sidewalk Widening Project Variant .....2.34

Figure 2.19: Photosimulations of Proposed Project, Views A and B.....2.36

Figure 2.20: Photosimulations of Proposed Project, Views C and D.....2.37

Figure 2.21: Photosimulations of Proposed Project, Views E and F.....2.38

Figure 2.22: Photosimulations of Proposed Project, Views G and H .....2.39

Figure 2.23: Photosimulations of Proposed Project, View I.....2.40

Figure 2.24: Photosimulations of Proposed Project, Views J and K.....2.41

Figure 2.25: Photosimulations of Proposed Project, View L.....2.42

Figure 4.A.1: Location of Foreseeable Future Projects in the Vicinity of the Proposed Project ..... 4.A.8

Figure 4.B.1: Land Uses in the Project Vicinity ..... 4.B.3

Figure 4.B.2: Existing Zoning Districts in the Project Vicinity..... 4.B.8

Figure 4.B.3: Existing Height and Bulk Districts in the Project Vicinity ..... 4.B.9

Figure 4.C.1: Transportation Study Area and Intersections Analyzed ..... 4.C.3

Figure 4.C.2: Existing Transit Network Near Project Site ..... 4.C.10

Figure 4.C.3: Bicycle Route Network in Study Area ..... 4.C.19

Figure 4.D.1: Noise Measurement Locations..... 4.D.8

Figure 4.E.1: Sensitive Air Quality Receptors in the Vicinity of the Project Site..... 4.E.13

Figure 4.F.1: Locations of Wind Study Test Points ..... 4.F.6

Figure 4.F.2: Existing Publicly Accessible Open Spaces Within Reach of the Proposed Project’s Shadow ..... 4.F.13

Figure 4.F.3: Existing and Project Shadow at 10:00 a.m. and Noon PDT on March 23 (September 20) ..... 4.F.16

Figure 4.F.4: Existing and Project Shadow at 3:00 p.m. PDT on March 23 (September 20)..... 4.F.17

Figure 4.F.5: Existing and Project Shadow at 10:00 a.m. and Noon PDT on June 21 ..... 4.F.18

Figure 4.F.6: Existing and Project Shadow at 3:00 p.m. PDT on June 21 ..... 4.F.19

Figure 4.F.7: Existing and Project Shadow at 10:00 a.m. and Noon PST on December 20..... 4.F.20

Figure 4.F.8: Existing and Project Shadow at 3:00 p.m. PST on December 20..... 4.F.21

Figure 4.F.9: Maximum Extent of Net New Project Shadow on Peace Plaza at 7:45 a.m. PDT on August 2 ..... 4.F.31

Figure 4.F.10: Maximum Extent of Net New Project Shadow on Hamilton Recreation Center at 7:25 a.m. PDT on August 16..... 4.F.33

Figure 4.F.11: Maximum Extent of Net New Project Shadow on Raymond Kimbell Playground at 6:46 a.m. PDT on June 21 ..... 4.F.35

Figure 4.F.12: Maximum Extent of Net New Project Shadow on Cottage Row Mini Park at 8:00 a.m. PDT on September 20..... 4.F.37

Figure 6.1: Code-Compliant Alternative - Site Plan and Geary Boulevard Perspective Rendering ..... 6.10

Figure 6.2: Reduced Height Alternative - Site Plan and Geary Boulevard Perspective Rendering ..... 6.26

Figure 6.3: Reduced Tower Footprint and Height Alternative - Site Plan and Geary Boulevard Perspective Rendering..... 6.43

**LIST OF TABLES**

Table S.1:	Summary of Impacts of Proposed Project Identified in the EIR.....	S.6
Table S.2:	Summary of Improvement Measures Identified in the EIR.....	S.24
Table S.3:	Comparison of the Proposed Project to Alternatives.....	S.37
Table 2.1:	Existing Uses on the Project Site.....	2.5
Table 2.2:	Summary of Existing and Proposed Uses on the Project Site .....	2.8
Table 4.C.1:	Existing Conditions - Weekday AM/PM Peak Hour Intersection LOS.....	4.C.8
Table 4.C.2:	Muni Service Weekday Frequency in the Project Vicinity .....	4.C.9
Table 4.C.3:	Existing Muni Ridership/Capacity Utilization - Weekday PM Peak Hour .....	4.C.13
Table 4.C.4:	Muni Corridor Analysis for Existing Conditions - Weekday PM Peak Hour.....	4.C.13
Table 4.C.5:	Regional Transit Capacity Utilization for Existing Conditions - Weekday PM Peak Hour .....	4.C.14
Table 4.C.6:	Existing Pedestrian Level of Service - Weekday AM, Midday, and PM Peak Hours .....	4.C.17
Table 4.C.7:	Existing On-Street Parking Supply and Occupancy - Weekday Evening (7 to 9 PM) .....	4.C.22
Table 4.C.8:	Comparison of <i>Better Streets Plan</i> Sidewalk Width Requirements - Existing, Proposed Project, and Variant Sidewalk Dimensions .....	4.C.28
Table 4.C.9:	Signalized Intersection Level of Service Criteria.....	4.C.30
Table 4.C.10:	Number of Person-Trips Generated by Land Use.....	4.C.32
Table 4.C.11:	Net-New Trip Generation by Mode - Weekday AM and PM Peak Hours.....	4.C.33
Table 4.C.12:	Trip Distribution Patterns by Land Use.....	4.C.34
Table 4.C.13:	Freight Delivery and Service Vehicle Demand by Land Use.....	4.C.35
Table 4.C.14:	Weekday Midday and Evening Net-New Parking Demand by Land Use.....	4.C.36
Table 4.C.15:	Existing Plus Project and Existing Plus Variant Conditions - Weekday AM and PM Peak Hour Intersection LOS.....	4.C.37
Table 4.C.16:	Existing Plus Project/Variant Muni Capacity Utilization – Weekday PM Peak Hour .....	4.C.44
Table 4.C.17:	Pedestrian Level of Service - Existing plus Project and Existing plus Variant Conditions for Weekday AM, Midday, and PM Peak Hours.....	4.C.49
Table 4.C.18:	Daily Construction Trucks and Workers by Phase.....	4.C.61
Table 4.C.19:	Net-New Parking Supply and Demand.....	4.C.66
Table 4.C.20:	Intersection LOS for Existing and 2040 Cumulative Conditions - Weekday AM and PM Peak Hours .....	4.C.73
Table 4.C.21:	Muni Screenline Analysis for Existing and 2040 Cumulative Conditions - Weekday PM Peak Hour.....	4.C.74
Table 4.C.22:	Regional Screenline Analysis for Existing and 2040 Cumulative Conditions - Weekday PM Peak Hour .....	4.C.75
Table 4.D.1:	Typical Sound Levels Measured in the Environment.....	4.D.2
Table 4.D.2:	24-Hour Ambient Noise Levels in the Study Area.....	4.D.9
Table 4.D.3:	Short-Term Noise Levels in the Study Area.....	4.D.10

Table 4.D.4: San Francisco General Plan Land Use Compatibility Chart for Community Noise ..... 4.D.15

Table 4.D.5: Typical Noise Levels of Construction Equipment..... 4.D.23

Table 4.D.6: Modeled Composite Noise Levels during Construction ..... 4.D.24

Table 4.D.7: Modeled Vibration Levels during Demolition and Below-Grade Work ..... 4.D.29

Table 4.D.8: Modeled Vibration Levels for Mitigated Equipment..... 4.D.32

Table 4.E.1: Summary of San Francisco Air Quality Monitoring Data (2008–2012)..... 4.E.3

Table 4.E.2: Carcinogenic Toxic Air Contaminants - Annual Average Ambient Concentrations ..... 4.E.8

Table 4.E.3: State and Federal Ambient Air Quality Standards ..... 4.E.15

Table 4.E.4: Criteria Air Pollutant Significance Thresholds ..... 4.E.24

Table 4.E.5: Estimated Average Daily Construction Emissions ..... 4.E.30

Table 4.E.6: Estimated Average Daily Construction Emissions with Mitigation ..... 4.E.31

Table 4.E.7: Estimated Daily and Annual Operation-Related Emissions ..... 4.E.33

Table 6.1: Comparison of the Proposed Project to Alternatives ..... 6.2

Table 6.2: Trip Generation by Mode - Weekday PM Peak Hour, Proposed Project and Code Compliant Alternative..... 6.14

Table 6.3: Vehicle Parking Supply and Demand Comparison, Proposed Project and Code-Compliant Alternative..... 6.18

Table 6.4: Trip Generation by Mode - Weekday PM Peak Hour, Proposed Project and Reduced Height Alternative ..... 6.30

Table 6.5: Vehicle Parking Supply and Demand Comparison, Proposed Project and Reduced Height Alternative ..... 6.34

Table 6.6: Trip Generation by Mode - Weekday PM Peak Hour, Proposed Project and Reduced Tower Footprint and Height Alternative ..... 6.47

Table 6.7: Vehicle Parking Supply and Demand Comparison, Proposed Project and Reduced Tower Footprint and Height Alternative ..... 6.52

## LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

---

ABAG	Association of Bay Area Governments
ACL	absolute cumulative limit
ARB	California Air Resources Board
BAAQMD	Bay Area Air Quality Management District
BART	Bay Area Rapid Transit
BCDC	Bay Conservation and Development Commission
BMPs	best management practices
BRT	bus rapid transit
CAAQS	California ambient air quality standards
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CMP	Congestion Management Program
CO	carbon monoxide
CPMC	California Pacific Medical Center
dB	decibel
dba	A-weighted decibel
DBI	Department of Building Inspection
DPH	San Francisco Department of Public Health
DPM	diesel particulate matter
DPW	Department of Public Works
EIR	Environmental Impact Report
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
FTA	Federal Transit Administration
GGT	Golden Gate Transit
gsf	gross square feet
HCM	<i>2000 Highway Capacity Manual</i>
HOA	Homeowners Association
HVAC	Heating, ventilation, and air conditioning
I-80	Interstate 80
I-280	Interstate 280
in/sec PPV	inch per second peak particle velocity
ITE	Institute of Transportation Engineers
JCHESS	Japantown Cultural Heritage and Economic Sustainability Strategy
lbs	pounds
L <sub>dn</sub>	day-night noise level
L <sub>eq</sub>	equivalent noise level
L <sub>max</sub>	maximum noise level
L <sub>90</sub> level	noise level that is exceeded 90 percent of the time of each hour
LEED	Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design
LOS	Level of Service
MLP	maximum load point
MMRP	Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program
mph	miles per hour
MTA	Municipal Transportation Agency
MTC	Metropolitan Transportation Commission
MTS	Metropolitan Transportation System
Muni	San Francisco Municipal Railway

List of Acronyms and Abbreviations

NAAQS	national ambient air quality standards
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NESHAP	National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants
ng/m <sup>3</sup>	nanograms per cubic meter
NOP/IS	Notice of Preparation/Initial Study
NO <sub>2</sub>	nitrogen dioxide
NO <sub>x</sub>	nitrogen oxides
NSR	New Source Review
OPR	Office of Planning and Research
ped/min/ft	pedestrians per minute per foot
PDT	Pacific Daylight Time
PM	particulate matter
PM <sub>10</sub>	particulate matter of 10 microns in diameter or less
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	particulate matter of 2.5 microns in diameter or less
POPOs	privately owned publicly accessible open spaces
ppb	parts per billion
ppm	parts per million
PPV	peak particle velocity
PST	Pacific Standard Time
PUD	Planned Unit Development
RMS	root mean square
ROG	reactive organic gases
RPP	Residential Permit Parking
SamTrans	San Mateo County Transit
SB 352	Senate Bill 352
SFBAAB	San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin
SF-CHAMP	San Francisco Chained Activity Model Process
SFCTA	San Francisco County Transportation Authority
sfh	square-foot-hours
SO <sub>2</sub>	sulfur dioxide
sq. ft.	square feet
sq ft/ped	square feet per pedestrian
SUD	special use districts
STC	sound transmission class
TACs	toxic air contaminants
TAAS	theoretical annual available sunlight
TASC	Transportation Advisory Staff Committee
TBACT	Best Available Control Technology for Toxics
TDM	Transportation Demand Management
TEP	Transit Effectiveness Project
TIS	Transportation Impact Study
2010 CAP	<i>Bay Area 2010 Clean Air Plan</i>
U.S. 101	United States Highway 101
USEPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
VdB	notation for vibration velocity level reported in decibels relative to a level of 1x10 <sup>-6</sup> inches per second
WETA	Water Emergency Transportation Authority
WHO	World Health Organization
µg/m <sup>3</sup>	micrograms per cubic meter