



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Certificate of Determination EXEMPTION FROM ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

Case No.: 2014-000601ENV
 Project Address: 2675 Folsom Street
 Zoning: RH-2 (Residential – House, Two Family)
 RH-3 (Residential – House, Three Family)
 UMU (Urban Mixed Use)
 Calle 24 Special Use District
 40-X Height and Bulk District
 Block/Lot: 3639/006 and 3639/007
 Lot Size: 25,322 sq ft
 Plan Area: Eastern Neighborhoods Area Plan
 Project Sponsor: Muhammad Nadhiri, Axis Development Corporation, (415) 992-6997
 Staff Contact: Justin Horner (415) 575-9023, justin.horner@sfgov.org

1650 Mission St.
 Suite 400
 San Francisco,
 CA 94103-2479

Reception:
415.558.6378

Fax:
415.558.6409

Planning
 Information:
415.558.6377

PROJECT DESCRIPTION


The project site is located on three lots between 22nd Street and 23rd Streets along Folsom Street and Treat Avenue in the Mission neighborhood, adjacent to Parque Ninos Unidos. The project site is occupied by three (3) 25-foot-tall, two-story warehouse and storage structures totaling 21,599 square feet with surface parking and storage areas. The existing buildings were constructed in 1952 and are currently a restaurant supply warehouse. The proposed project involves the demolition of the existing structures and the construction of a 4-story-over-basement, 40-foot-tall residential building. The proposed building would include 117 residential units and approximately 5,200 square feet of Production Distribution and Repair (PDR) space. The proposed mix of units would be 24 studio units, 46 one-bedroom units, 45 two-bedroom units and 2 three-bedroom units. The proposed building would include 174 Class 1 bicycle spaces on the basement level. Sixty-five off-street parking spaces and one car-share parking space are proposed. Pedestrian and bicycle access would be from Folsom Street and Treat Avenue and the proposed project includes a dawn-to-dusk publically-accessible mid-block connection between Folsom Street and Treat Avenue. The proposed project would involve excavation of up to approximately 23.5 feet below ground surface and 21,335 cubic yards of soil is proposed to be removed. The project proposes a common roof deck, a 2,681-square-foot private inner courtyard and a 20-foot-wide public dawn-to-dusk midblock passage between Folsom Street and Treat Avenue. The project site is located within the Mission area of the Eastern Neighborhoods Plan Area.

EXEMPT STATUS

Exempt per Section 15183 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines and California Public Resources Code Section 21083.3

DETERMINATION

I do hereby certify that the above determination has been made pursuant to State and Local requirements.


 LISA GIBSON
 Acting Environmental Review Officer

9/20/16
 Date

cc: Muhammad Nadhiri, Project Sponsor; Supervisor Campos, District 9; Rich Sucre, Current Planning Division; Virna Byrd, M.D.F.; Exemption/Exclusion File

PROJECT APPROVAL

The proposed project requires Large Project Authorization from the City Planning Commission, pursuant to Planning Code Section 329. The granting of such Authorization shall be the Approval Action for the proposed project. The Approval Action date establishes the start of the 30-day appeal period for this CEQA exemption determination pursuant to Section 31.04(h) of the San Francisco Administrative Code.

COMMUNITY PLAN EXEMPTION OVERVIEW

California Public Resources Code Section 21083.3 and CEQA Guidelines Section 15183 provide an exemption from environmental review for projects that are consistent with the development density established by existing zoning, community plan or general plan policies for which an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) was certified, except as might be necessary to examine whether there are project-specific significant effects which are peculiar to the project or its site. Section 15183 specifies that examination of environmental effects shall be limited to those effects that: a) are peculiar to the project or parcel on which the project would be located; b) were not analyzed as significant effects in a prior EIR on the zoning action, general plan or community plan with which the project is consistent; c) are potentially significant off-site and cumulative impacts that were not discussed in the underlying EIR; or d) are previously identified in the EIR, but which, as a result of substantial new information that was not known at the time that the EIR was certified, are determined to have a more severe adverse impact than that discussed in the underlying EIR. Section 15183(c) specifies that if an impact is not peculiar to the parcel or to the proposed project, then an EIR need not be prepared for the project solely on the basis of that impact.

This determination evaluates the potential project-specific environmental effects of the 2675 Folsom Street project described above, and incorporates by reference information contained in the Programmatic EIR for the Eastern Neighborhoods Rezoning and Area Plans (PEIR)¹. Project-specific studies were prepared for the proposed project to determine if the project would result in any significant environmental impacts that were not identified in the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR.

After several years of analysis, community outreach, and public review, the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR was adopted in December 2008. The Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR was adopted in part to support housing development in some areas previously zoned to allow industrial uses, while preserving an adequate supply of space for existing and future production, distribution, and repair (PDR) employment and businesses. The Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR also included changes to existing height and bulk districts in some areas, including the project site at 2675 Folsom Street.

The Planning Commission held public hearings to consider the various aspects of the proposed Eastern Neighborhoods Rezoning and Area Plans and related Planning Code and Zoning Map amendments. On August 7, 2008, the Planning Commission certified the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR by Motion 17659 and adopted the Preferred Project for final recommendation to the Board of Supervisors.^{2,3}

¹ Planning Department Case No. 2004.0160E and State Clearinghouse No. 2005032048

² San Francisco Planning Department. Eastern Neighborhoods Rezoning and Area Plans Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR), Planning Department Case No. 2004.0160E, certified August 7, 2008. Available online at: <http://www.sf-planning.org/index.aspx?page=1893>, accessed February 26, 2016.

In December 2008, after further public hearings, the Board of Supervisors approved and the Mayor signed the Eastern Neighborhoods Rezoning and Planning Code amendments. New zoning districts include districts that would permit PDR uses in combination with commercial uses; districts mixing residential and commercial uses and residential and PDR uses; and new residential-only districts. The districts replaced existing industrial, commercial, residential single-use, and mixed-use districts.

The Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR is a comprehensive programmatic document that presents an analysis of the environmental effects of implementation of the Eastern Neighborhoods Rezoning and Area Plans, as well as the potential impacts under several proposed alternative scenarios. The Eastern Neighborhoods Draft EIR evaluated three rezoning alternatives, two community-proposed alternatives which focused largely on the Mission District, and a “No Project” alternative. The alternative selected, or the Preferred Project, represents a combination of Options B and C. The Planning Commission adopted the Preferred Project after fully considering the environmental effects of the Preferred Project and the various scenarios discussed in the PEIR. The Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR estimated that implementation of the Eastern Neighborhoods Plan could result in approximately 7,400 to 9,900 net dwelling units and 3,200,000 to 6,600,000 square feet of net non-residential space (excluding PDR loss) built in the Plan Area throughout the lifetime of the Plan (year 2025).

A major issue of discussion in the Eastern Neighborhoods rezoning process was the degree to which existing industrially-zoned land would be rezoned to primarily residential and mixed-use districts, thus reducing the availability of land traditionally used for PDR employment and businesses. Among other topics, the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR assesses the significance of the cumulative land use effects of the rezoning by analyzing its effects on the City's ability to meet its future PDR space needs as well as its ability to meet its housing needs as expressed in the City's General Plan.

As a result of the Eastern Neighborhoods rezoning process, the project site has been rezoned to a UMU (Urban Mixed Use) District. The UMU District is intended to promote a vibrant mix of uses while maintaining the characteristics of this formerly industrially-zoned area. It is also intended to serve as a buffer between residential districts and PDR districts in the Eastern Neighborhoods. Within the UMU, allowed uses include production, distribution, and repair uses such as light manufacturing, home and business services, arts activities, warehouse, and wholesaling. Housing is also permitted, but is subject to higher affordability requirements. The proposed project and its relation to PDR land supply and cumulative land use effects is discussed further in the Community Plan Exemption (CPE) Checklist, under Land Use. The 2675 Folsom Street site, which is located in the Mission District of the Eastern Neighborhoods, was designated as a site with building up to 40 feet in height.

Individual projects that could occur in the future under the Eastern Neighborhoods Rezoning and Area Plans will undergo project-level environmental evaluation to determine if they would result in further impacts specific to the development proposal, the site, and the time of development and to assess whether additional environmental review would be required. This determination concludes that the proposed project at 2675 Folsom Street is consistent with and was encompassed within the analysis in the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR, including the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR development projections. This determination also finds that the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR adequately anticipated and described the impacts of the proposed 2675 Folsom Street project, and identified the mitigation measures applicable to the 2675 Folsom Street project. The proposed project is also consistent with the zoning controls and the

³ San Francisco Planning Department. San Francisco Planning Commission Motion 17659, August 7, 2008. Available online at: <http://www.sf-planning.org/Modules/ShowDocument.aspx?documentid=1268>, accessed February 26, 2016.

provisions of the Planning Code applicable to the project site.^{4,5} Therefore, no further CEQA evaluation for the 2675 Folsom Street project is required. In sum, the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR and this Certificate of Exemption for the proposed project comprise the full and complete CEQA evaluation necessary for the proposed project.

PROJECT SETTING

The project site is located on a block bounded by 23rd Street to the south, Folsom Street to the west, Treat Avenue to the east and 22nd Street to the north. The project area along Folsom Street is characterized primarily by residential land uses in two- to three-story buildings on the east side of Folsom Street, with similar residential buildings and Cesar Chavez Elementary School on the west side. The project area along Treat Avenue is characterized by a mix of industrial and commercial buildings and residential uses in one- to three-story buildings. Buildings immediately adjacent to the project site include a 3-story residential building and a 1-story residential building to the north. Adjacent to the project site to the south is Parque Ninos Unidos, a San Francisco Recreation and Park facility. Parcels surrounding the project site are within RM-2 (Residential – Mixed, Moderate Density), RH-3 (Residential-House, Three Family) and UMU (Urban Mixed Use) Districts, all within a 40-X Height and Bulk district, with existing buildings ranging from one to four stories.

The closest Bay Area Rapid Transit District (BART) stop is at 24th and Mission Streets, approximately 0.3 miles northeast of the site. The project site is within a quarter mile of several local transit lines, including Muni Metro lines 12-Folsom/Pacific, 48-Quintara/24th Street and 67-Bernal Heights.

POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS

The Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR included analyses of environmental issues including: land use; plans and policies; visual quality and urban design; population, housing, business activity, and employment (growth inducement); transportation; noise; air quality; parks, recreation and open space; shadow; archeological resources; historic architectural resources; hazards; and other issues not addressed in the previously issued initial study for the Eastern Neighborhoods Rezoning and Area Plans. The proposed 2675 Folsom Street project is in conformance with the height, use and density for the site described in the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR and would represent a small part of the growth that was forecast for the Eastern Neighborhoods plan areas. Thus, the plan analyzed in the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR considered the incremental impacts of the proposed 2675 Folsom Street project. As a result, the proposed project would not result in any new or substantially more severe impacts than were identified in the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR.

Significant and unavoidable impacts were identified in the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR for the following topics: land use, historic architectural resources, transportation and circulation, and shadow. The Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR identified feasible mitigation measures to address significant impacts related to noise, air quality, archeological resources, historical resources, hazardous materials, and transportation. **Table 1** below lists the mitigation measures identified in the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR and states whether each measure would apply to the proposed project

⁴ Adam Varat, San Francisco Planning Department, Community Plan Exemption Eligibility Determination, Citywide Planning and Policy Analysis, 2675 Folsom Street, Mar 18, 2016. This document (and all other documents cited in this report, unless otherwise noted), is available for review at 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA, as part of Case No. 2014-000601ENV.

⁵ Jeff Joslin, San Francisco Planning Department, Community Plan Exemption Eligibility Determination, Current Planning Analysis, 2675 Folsom Street, Feb. 2, 2015.

Table 1 – Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR Mitigation Measures

| Mitigation Measure | Applicability | Compliance |
|--|---|--|
| F. Noise | | |
| F-1: Construction Noise (Pile Driving) | Not Applicable: pile driving not proposed | N/A |
| F-2: Construction Noise | Not Applicable: no particularly noisy construction methods would be anticipated during the project's construction phase. | N/A |
| F-3: Interior Noise Levels | Not Applicable: CEQA generally no longer requires the consideration of the effects of the existing environment on a proposed project's future users or residents. | N/A |
| F-4: Siting of Noise-Sensitive Uses | Not Applicable: CEQA generally no longer requires the consideration of the effects of the existing environment on a proposed project's future users or residents. | N/A |
| F-5: Siting of Noise-Generating Uses | Applicable: the project potentially includes noise-generating uses (PDR) | Mitigation Measure F-5 agreed to by project sponsor |
| F-6: Open Space in Noisy Environments | Not Applicable: CEQA generally no longer requires the consideration of the effects of the existing environment on a proposed project's future users or residents. | N/A |
| G. Air Quality | | |
| G-1: Construction Air Quality | Applicable: project involves construction activity | Compliance with San Francisco Dust Control Ordinance |
| G-2: Air Quality for Sensitive Land Uses | Not Applicable: superseded by applicable Article 38 requirements | N/A |

| Mitigation Measure | Applicability | Compliance |
|--|---|---|
| G-3: Siting of Uses that Emit DPM | Not Applicable: the proposed residential use is not expected to emit substantial levels of DPMs | N/A |
| G-4: Siting of Uses that Emit other TACs | Not Applicable; project would not include sources that emit DPM or other TACs | N/A |
| J. Archeological Resources | | |
| J-1: Properties with Previous Studies | Not Applicable: no archeological research design and treatment plan on file | N/A |
| J-2: Properties with no Previous Studies | Applicable: project site has no archeological assessment on file | Preliminary Archeological Sensitivity Study completed; Project Mitigation Measure 1 (Accidental Discovery) agreed to by sponsor |
| J-3: Mission Dolores Archeological District | Not Applicable: project site not in Mission Dolores Archeological District | N/A |
| K. Historical Resources | | |
| K-1: Interim Procedures for Permit Review in the Eastern Neighborhoods Plan area | Not Applicable: plan-level mitigation completed by Planning Department | N/A |
| K-2: Amendments to Article 10 of the Planning Code Pertaining to Vertical Additions in the South End Historic District (East SoMa) | Not Applicable: plan-level mitigation completed by Planning Commission | N/A |
| K-3: Amendments to Article 10 of the Planning Code Pertaining to Alterations and Infill Development in the Dogpatch Historic District (Central Waterfront) | Not Applicable: plan-level mitigation completed by Planning Commission | N/A |
| L. Hazardous Materials | | |
| L-1: Hazardous Building Materials | Applicable: project includes demolition of existing structures | Project Mitigation Measure 2 (Hazardous Building Materials) agreed to by sponsor |
| E. Transportation | | |
| E-1: Traffic Signal Installation | Not Applicable: plan level mitigation by SFMTA | N/A |

| Mitigation Measure | Applicability | Compliance |
|--|--|------------|
| E-2: Intelligent Traffic Management | Not Applicable: plan level mitigation by SFMTA | N/A |
| E-3: Enhanced Funding | Not Applicable: plan level mitigation by SFMTA & SFTA | N/A |
| E-4: Intelligent Traffic Management | Not Applicable: plan level mitigation by SFMTA & Planning Department | N/A |
| E-5: Enhanced Transit Funding | Not Applicable: plan level mitigation by SFMTA | N/A |
| E-6: Transit Corridor Improvements | Not Applicable: plan level mitigation by SFMTA | N/A |
| E-7: Transit Accessibility | Not Applicable: plan level mitigation by SFMTA | N/A |
| E-8: Muni Storage and Maintenance | Not Applicable: plan level mitigation by SFMTA | N/A |
| E-9: Rider Improvements | Not Applicable: plan level mitigation by SFMTA | N/A |
| E-10: Transit Enhancement | Not Applicable: plan level mitigation by SFMTA | N/A |
| E-11: Transportation Demand Management | Not Applicable: plan level mitigation by SFMTA | N/A |

Please see the attached Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) for the complete text of the applicable mitigation measures. With implementation of these mitigation measures the proposed project would not result in significant impacts beyond those analyzed in the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR.

PUBLIC NOTICE AND COMMENT

A "Notification of Project Receiving Environmental Review" was mailed on August 12, 2015 to adjacent occupants and owners of properties within 300 feet of the project site. Overall, concerns and issues raised by the public in response to the notice were taken into consideration and incorporated in the environmental review as appropriate for CEQA analysis. Comments received included concerns about the height and bulk of the proposed project; increased traffic; the location of the proposed driveway on Treat Avenue; increases in transportation-related pollution; loss of Production Distribution and Repair uses; possible shadow impacts, particularly on Parque Ninos Unidos; the cost of the proposed units and the need for affordable housing; possible wind impacts; parking; noise and dust impacts during construction; impacts on Cesar Chavez Elementary School; and the future of the mural on the current building. The proposed project would not result in significant adverse environmental impacts associated with the issues identified by the public beyond those identified in the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR.

Public comments related to the height and bulk of the proposed project, loss of PDR uses, traffic, air quality, shadow, parking, and wind impacts have been addressed in the CPE Checklist. Any future residents' noise levels, from either interior or exterior areas of the proposed project, are subject to the noise regulations in the San Francisco Police Code.

Impacts on the mural are not considered an environmental impact under CEQA.

CEQA generally does not require the analysis of economic impacts. While there could potentially be an impact to property values or rents in the area, such an occurrence would be a socioeconomic impact, which is beyond the scope of CEQA. As stated in CEQA Guidelines Section 15131(a), "[e]conomic or social effects of a project shall not be treated as significant effects on the environment. An EIR may trace a chain of cause and effect from a proposed decision on a project through anticipated economic or social changes resulting from the project to physical changes caused in turn by the economic or social changes. The intermediate economic or social changes need not be analyzed in any detail greater than necessary to trace the chain of cause and effect. The focus of the analysis shall be on the physical changes." In general, analysis of the potential adverse physical impacts resulting from economic activities has been concerned with the question of whether an economic change would lead to physical deterioration in a community. The construction of 2675 Folsom Street would not create an economic change that would lead to the physical deterioration of the surrounding neighborhood.

CONCLUSION

As summarized above and further discussed in the CPE Checklist:⁶

1. The proposed project is consistent with the development density established for the project site in the Eastern Neighborhoods Rezoning and Area Plans;
2. The proposed project would not result in effects on the environment that are peculiar to the project or the project site that were not identified as significant effects in the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR;
3. The proposed project would not result in potentially significant off-site or cumulative impacts that were not identified in the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR;
4. The proposed project would not result in significant effects, which, as a result of substantial new information that was not known at the time the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR was certified, would be more severe than were already analyzed and disclosed in the PEIR; and
5. The project sponsor will undertake feasible mitigation measures specified in the Eastern Neighborhoods PEIR to mitigate project-related significant impacts.

Therefore, the proposed project is exempt from further environmental review pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21083.3 and CEQA Guidelines Section 15183.

⁶ The CPE Checklist is available for review at the Planning Department, 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, in Case File No. 2014.000601ENV.

**EXHIBIT 1:
 MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
 (Including the Text of the Mitigation Measures Adopted as Conditions of Approval and Proposed Improvement Measures)**

| 1. MITIGATION MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL | Responsibility for Implementation | Mitigation Schedule | Monitoring/Report Responsibility | Status/Date Completed |
|---|---|---|--|---|
| MEASURES DEEMED FEASIBLE | | | | |
| F. Noise | | | | |
| <p><i>Mitigation Measure F-5: Siting of Noise-Generating Uses</i> To reduce potential conflicts between existing sensitive receptors and new noise-generating uses, for new development including commercial, industrial or other uses that would be expected to generate noise levels in excess of ambient noise, either short-term, at nighttime, or as a 24-hour average, in the proposed project site vicinity, the Planning Department shall require the preparation of an analysis that includes, at a minimum, a site survey to identify potential noise-sensitive uses within 900 feet of, and that have a direct line-of-sight to, the project site, and including at least one 24-hour noise measurement (with maximum noise level readings taken at least every 15 minutes), prior to the first project approval action. The analysis shall be prepared by persons qualified in acoustical analysis and/or engineering and shall demonstrate with reasonable certainty that the proposed use would comply with the use compatibility requirements in the General Plan and in Police Code Section 2909I, would not adversely affect nearby noise-sensitive uses, and that there are no particular circumstances about the proposed project site that appear to warrant heightened concern about noise levels that would be generated by the proposed use. Should such concerns be present, the Department may require the completion of a detailed noise assessment by person(s) qualified in acoustical analysis and/or engineering prior to the first project approval action.</p> | <p>Project Sponsor along with Project Contractor of each subsequent development project undertaken pursuant to the Eastern Neighborhoods Rezoning and Area Plans Project.</p> | <p>Prior to first approval action, noise analysis must be done. Design measures to be incorporated into project design and evaluated in environmental/building permit review.</p> | <p>San Francisco Planning Department and the Department of Building Inspection</p> | <p>Considered complete upon first project approval action.</p> |
| J. Archeological Resources | | | | |
| <p><i>Mitigation Measure J-2: Accidental Discovery</i> The following mitigation measure is required to avoid any potential adverse effect from the proposed project on accidentally discovered buried or submerged historical resources as defined in CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5(a) and (c). The project sponsor shall distribute the Planning Department archeological resource "ALERT" sheet to the project prime contractor; to any project subcontractor (including demolition, excavation, grading, foundation, pile driving, etc. firms); or utilities firm involved in soils disturbing activities within the project site. Prior to any soils disturbing activities being undertaken each contractor is responsible for ensuring that</p> | <p>Project Sponsor/project archeologist</p> | <p>Upon discovery of a buried or submerged historical resource</p> | <p>Project sponsor and ERO</p> | <p>Upon determination of the ERO that resource is not present or adversely impacted; or upon certification of Final Archeological Resources Report (FARR)</p> |

**EXHIBIT 1:
 MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
 (Including the Text of the Mitigation Measures Adopted as Conditions of Approval and Proposed Improvement Measures)**

| 1. MITIGATION MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL | Responsibility for Implementation | Mitigation Schedule | Monitoring/Report Responsibility | Status/Date Completed |
|--|--|--------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| <p>the "ALERT" sheet is circulated to all field personnel including, machine operators, field crew, pile drivers, supervisory personnel, etc. The project sponsor shall provide the Environmental Review Officer (ERO) with a signed affidavit from the responsible parties (prime contractor, subcontractor(s), and utilities firm) to the ERO confirming that all field personnel have received copies of the Alert Sheet.</p> <p>Should any indication of an archeological resource be encountered during any soils disturbing activity of the project, the project Head Foreman and/or project sponsor shall immediately notify the ERO and shall immediately suspend any soils disturbing activities in the vicinity of the discovery until the ERO has determined what additional measures should be undertaken.</p> <p>If the ERO determines that an archeological resource may be present within the project site, the project sponsor shall retain the services of an archaeological consultant from the pool of qualified archaeological consultants maintained by the Planning Department archaeologist. The archeological consultant shall advise the ERO as to whether the discovery is an archeological resource, retains sufficient integrity, and is of potential scientific/historical/cultural significance. If an archeological resource is present, the archeological consultant shall identify and evaluate the archeological resource. The archeological consultant shall make a recommendation as to what action, if any, is warranted. Based on this information, the ERO may require, if warranted, specific additional measures to be implemented by the project sponsor.</p> <p>Measures might include: preservation in situ of the archeological resource; an archaeological monitoring program; or an archeological testing program. If an archeological monitoring program or archeological testing program is required, it shall be consistent with the Environmental Planning (EP) division guidelines for such programs. The ERO may also require that the project sponsor immediately implement a site security program if the archeological resource is at risk from vandalism, looting, or other damaging actions. The project archeological consultant shall submit a Final Archeological Resources Report (FARR) to the ERO that evaluates the historical significance of any discovered archeological resource and describing the archeological and historical research methods employed in the archeological monitoring/data recovery program(s) undertaken. Information that may put at risk any archeological resource shall be provided in a separate removable</p> | | | | |

**EXHIBIT 1:
 MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
 (Including the Text of the Mitigation Measures Adopted as Conditions of Approval and Proposed Improvement Measures)**

| 1. MITIGATION MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL | Responsibility for Implementation | Mitigation Schedule | Monitoring/Report Responsibility | Status/Date Completed |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| <p>insert within the final report.</p> <p>Copies of the Draft FARR shall be sent to the ERO for review and approval. Once approved by the ERO, copies of the FARR shall be distributed as follows: California Archaeological Site Survey Northwest Information Center (NWIC) shall receive one (1) copy and the ERO shall receive a copy of the transmittal of the FARR to the NWIC. The Environmental Planning division of the Planning Department shall receive one bound copy, one unbound copy and one unlocked, searchable PDF copy on CD three copies of the FARR along with copies of any formal site recordation forms (CA DPR 523 series) and/or documentation for nomination to the National Register of Historic Places/California Register of Historical Resources. In instances of high public interest or interpretive value, the ERO may require a different final report content, format, and distribution than that presented above.</p> | | | | |
| <p>L. Hazardous Materials</p> <p><i>Mitigation Measure L-1—Hazardous Building Materials</i></p> <p>The City shall condition future development approvals to require that the subsequent project sponsors ensure that any equipment containing PCBs or DEPH, such as fluorescent light ballasts, are removed and properly disposed of according to applicable federal, state, and local laws prior to the start of renovation, and that any fluorescent light tubes, which could contain mercury, are similarly removed and properly disposed of. Any other hazardous materials identified, either before or during work, shall be abated according to applicable federal, state, and local laws.</p> | <p>Project Sponsor/project archeologist of each subsequent development project undertaken pursuant to the Eastern Neighborhoods Areas Plans and Rezoning</p> | <p>Prior to approval of each subsequent project, through Mitigation Plan.</p> | <p>Planning Department, in consultation with DPH; where Site Mitigation Plan is required, Project Sponsor or contractor shall submit a monitoring report to DPH, with a copy to Planning Department and DBI, at end of construction.</p> | <p>Considered complete upon approval of each subsequent project.</p> |