



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Certificate of Determination EXEMPTION FROM ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

Case No.: 2015-012300ENV
 Project Address: 1855 15th Street
 Zoning: RTO (Residential Transit Oriented) Use District
 40-X Height and Bulk District
 Block/Lot: 3556/055
 Lot Size: 25,700 square feet
 Plan Area: Market and Octavia Area Plan
 Project Sponsor: Elaine Yee, Mission Economic Development Agency, (415) 282-3334
 Staff Contact: Don Lewis, (415) 575-9168
don.lewis@sfgov.org

1650 Mission St.
 Suite 400
 San Francisco,
 CA 94103-2479

Reception:
415.558.6378

Fax:
415.558.6409

Planning
 Information:
415.558.6377

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project site is an irregular-shaped lot located on the south side of 15th Street between Dolores and Guerrero streets within the Mission neighborhood. The project site is occupied by the 107-foot-tall, 44,165-square-foot, Mission Dolores Apartment building which was constructed in 1965 by the San Francisco Housing Authority. The existing building includes 91 affordable housing units for low income senior and disabled residents. The existing building is ten stories high on the building's eastern end and seven stories high on the western end. Intersecting the ten-story and seven-story volumes is a concrete elevator tower that is eleven stories high. The existing development includes 930 square feet of ground-floor office use, a ground-floor community room, a surface parking lot with 14 spaces, and a large outdoor common space. The project sponsor proposes the renovation and rehabilitation of the existing

(Continued on next page.)

EXEMPT STATUS

Exempt per Section 15183 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines and California Public Resources Code Section 21083.3

DETERMINATION

I do hereby certify that the above determination has been made pursuant to State and Local requirements.


 SARAH B. JONES

Environmental Review Officer

October 13, 2015
 Date

cc: Elaine Yee, Project Sponsor
 Exemption/Exclusion File
 Virna Byrd, M.D.F.

Supervisor Scott Wiener, District 8
 Distribution List

PROJECT DESCRIPTION (continued)

building which includes the following: the renovation of existing kitchens and bathrooms; the conversion of eleven units to “Mobility Units” as defined by Chapter 11B of the California Building Code and the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (TCAC) requirements; the upgrade of four units to include visual and hearing impaired capabilities; the renovation of outdoor common spaces at the ground-floor level; the renovation of the community kitchen; the renovation of the community room; the renovation of existing common use restrooms to comply with Chapter 11B and Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) guidelines; and the upgrade of existing elevators. The proposed project would include the following exterior improvements: the replacement of existing windows and patio doors; upgrading ADA and egress pathways; re-striping existing parking to include van accessible parking; replacement of roof; and installing of new new exterior fence at existing rear courtyard. In addition, the proposed project would require seismic upgrades that include new micropiles, pile caps, and fiberwrap around columns for seismic reinforcement of the building. The micropiles would extend at least 30 feet below ground surface. Construction of the proposed project would be on an intermittent basis for approximately two years. The proposed project does not include building expansion. The project site is located within the Market and Octavia Neighborhood Plan area.

PROJECT APPROVAL

The proposed project at 1855 15th Street would require approval of a building permit from the Department of Building Inspections (DBI) for the proposed improvements described above. The issuance of a building permit by DBI is the Approval Action. The Approval Action date establishes the start of the 30-day appeal period for this CEQA exemption determination pursuant to Section 31.04(h) of the San Francisco Administrative Code.

COMMUNITY PLAN EXEMPTION OVERVIEW

California Public Resources Code Section 21083.3 and CEQA Guidelines Section 15183 provide an exemption from environmental review for projects that are consistent with the development density established by existing zoning, community plan or general plan policies for which an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) was certified, except as might be necessary to examine whether there are project-specific significant effects which are peculiar to the project or its site. Section 15183 specifies that examination of environmental effects shall be limited to those effects that: a) are peculiar to the project or parcel on which the project would be located; b) were not analyzed as significant effects in a prior EIR on the zoning action, general plan or community plan with which the project is consistent; c) are potentially significant off-site and cumulative impacts that were not discussed in the underlying EIR; or d) are previously identified in the EIR, but which, as a result of substantial new information that was not known at the time that the EIR was certified, are determined to have a more severe adverse impact than that discussed in the underlying EIR. Section 15183(c) specifies that if an impact is not peculiar to the parcel or to the proposed project, then an EIR need not be prepared for the project solely on the basis of that impact.

This determination evaluates the potential project-specific environmental effects of the 1855 15th Street project described above, and incorporates by reference information contained in the Programmatic EIR

for the Market and Octavia Neighborhood Plan (Market and Octavia PEIR)¹. Project-specific studies were prepared for the proposed project to determine if the project would result in any significant environmental impacts that were not identified in the Market and Octavia PEIR.

On April 5, 2007, the Planning Commission certified the Market and Octavia PEIR by Motion 17406.^{2,3} The PEIR analyzed amendments to the San Francisco General Plan to create the Market and Octavia Area Plan element of the General Plan and amendments to the Planning Code and Zoning Maps. The PEIR analysis was based upon an assumed development and activity that were anticipated to occur under the Market and Octavia Area Plan. The existing residential apartment building at 1855 15th Street, which has served low income senior and disabled residents since its construction in 1965, is a legal non-complying structure that was part of the baseline conditions that was analyzed by the PEIR.

Subsequent to the certification of the PEIR, in May 2008, the Board of Supervisors approved and the Mayor signed into law, revisions to the Planning Code, Zoning Maps, and General Plan that constituted the “project” analyzed in the Market and Octavia PEIR. The legislation created several new zoning controls, which allow for flexible types of new housing to meet a broad range of needs, reduce parking requirements to encourage housing and services without adding cars, balance transportation by considering people movement over auto movement, and build walkable “whole” neighborhoods meeting everyday needs.

Individual projects that could occur in the future under the Market and Octavia Area Plan will undergo project-level environmental evaluation to determine if they would result in further impacts specific to the development proposal, the site, and the time of development and to assess whether additional environmental review would be required. This determination finds that the Market and Octavia PEIR adequately anticipated and described the impacts of the proposed 1855 15th Street project, and identified the mitigation measures applicable to the 1855 15th Street project. The existing building on the project site was constructed in 1965. As a legal non-complying structure the proposed project is permitted in the RTO district and is legal non-complying in regards to density and height. The Citywide Planning and Current Planning Divisions of the Planning Department have determined that the proposed project is eligible for consideration of a Community Plan Exemption.^{4,5} Therefore, no further CEQA evaluation for the 1855 15th Street project is required. Overall, the Market and Octavia PEIR and this Certificate of Exemption for the proposed project comprise the full and complete CEQA evaluation necessary for the proposed project.

PROJECT SETTING

The project site is an irregular-shaped lot located on the south side of 15th Street between Dolores and Guerrero streets within the Mission neighborhood. The project site is occupied by the 107-foot-tall, 44,165-square-foot, Mission Dolores Apartment building which was constructed in 1965 by the San

¹ San Francisco Planning Department, 2007. Market and Octavia Neighborhood Plan Final Environmental Impact Report, Case No. 2003.0347E, State Clearinghouse No. 2004012118, certified April 5, 2007. This document is available online at www.sf-planning.org/index.aspx?page=1714 or at the Planning Department, 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400.

² Ibid.

³ San Francisco Planning Department. San Francisco Planning Commission Motion 17406, April 5, 2007. Available online at: <http://www.sf-planning.org/index.aspx?page=1714>, accessed December 3, 2014.

⁴ Adam Varat, San Francisco Planning Department, Community Plan Exemption Eligibility Determination, Citywide Planning and Policy Analysis, 1855 15th Street, October 5, 2015. This document, and other cite documents, is available for review at the San Francisco Planning Department, 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, as part of Case File No. 2013.0973E.

⁵ Jeff Joslin, San Francisco Planning Department, Community Plan Exemption Eligibility Determination, Current Planning Analysis, 1855 15th Street, September 30, 2015.

Francisco Housing Authority. Immediately adjacent to the west of the project site is a two-story, two-unit residential building (constructed in 1910). Immediately adjacent to the east of the project site is four-story, nine-unit residential building (constructed in 1923). The surrounding area around the project site is largely multi-unit residential buildings ranging in height from two to four stories. Across 15th Street to the north of the project site is the boundary of the Ramona Street Historic District, which is on the National Register of Historic Places. All of the surrounding parcels are within the 40-X height and bulk district and the RTO zoning district.

POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS

The Market and Octavia PEIR included analyses of environmental issues including: plans and policies; land use and zoning; population, housing, and employment; urban design and visual quality; shadow and wind; cultural (historic and archeological) resources; transportation; air quality; noise; hazardous materials; geology, soils, and seismicity; public facilities, services, and utilities; hydrology; biology; and growth inducement. The existing 1855 15th Street building is a legal, non-complying structure and the proposed project involves the renovation and rehabilitation of the existing development. The proposed project does not involve building expansion or new residential units. As a result, the proposed project would not result in any new or substantially more severe impacts than were identified in the Market and Octavia PEIR.

The Market and Octavia PEIR identified significant impacts related to archaeology, transportation, air quality, wind, shadow, geology, and hazardous materials. Mitigation measures were identified for the above impacts and reduced all impacts to less than significant, with the exception of those related to transportation (project- and program-level as well as cumulative traffic impacts at seven intersections; project-level and cumulative transit impacts on the 21 Hayes Muni line), and shadow impacts on two open spaces (War Memorial and United Nations Plaza). The project sponsor proposes the renovation and rehabilitation of the Mission Dolores Apartment building to improve the property for the existing residents. The proposed improvements include new micropiles which have the potential to impact significant archeological resources. With implementation of Project Mitigation Measure M-CP-1 (Archeological Testing), which the sponsor has agreed to, impacts of the proposed project would be reduced to a less than significant level. The existing building was determined not to be an historic resource; therefore, the proposed project would not result in a significant impact on historic resources. The proposed project would not generate new traffic or transit trips; therefore, the proposed project would not considerably contribute to the traffic and transit impacts identified in the Market and Octavia PEIR. Implementation of the proposed project would require diesel construction equipment. To reduce exhaust emissions from construction equipment to a less than significant level, the sponsor has agreed to implement Project Mitigation Measures M-AQ-1. Since the proposed project would not result in building expansion, implementation of the proposed project would not create new shadow or alter the existing wind environment.

The Market and Octavia PEIR identified feasible mitigation measures to address significant impacts related to noise, air quality, archeological resources, historical resources, hazardous materials, and transportation. **Table 1** below lists the mitigation measures identified in the Market and Octavia PEIR and states whether each measure would apply to the proposed project.

Table 1 – Market and Octavia PEIR Mitigation Measures

Mitigation Measure	Applicability	Compliance
A. Shadow		
A1. Parks and Open Space not Subject to Section 295	Not Applicable: the project involves renovation and rehabilitation of the existing building and no building expansion is proposed.	N/A
B. Wind		
B1: Buildings in Excess of 85 feet in Height	Not Applicable: the project involves renovation and rehabilitation of the existing building and no building expansion is proposed.	N/A
B2: All New Construction	Not Applicable: the project involves renovation and rehabilitation of the existing building and no building expansion is proposed.	N/A
C. Archeological Resources		
C1: Soil Disturbing Activities in Archaeologically Documented Properties	Not Applicable: the project site is not located within an archaeologically documented property.	N/A
C2: General Soil Disturbing Activities	Applicable: the project would involve general soil disturbing activities in an area for which no archeological assessment report has been completed.	Based on the results of the PAR, the Planning Department's Third Mitigation Measure (Archeological Testing) would apply to the proposed project which the sponsor has agreed to implement.
C3: Soil Disturbing Activities in Public Street and Open Space Improvements	Not Applicable: the project site would not include soil disturbing activities in the street or open space improvements.	N/A
C4: Soil Disturbing Activities in the Mission Dolores Archaeological District	Not Applicable: the project site is not located within the Mission Dolores Archaeological District.	N/A

Mitigation Measure	Applicability	Compliance
D. Transportation		
D3: Traffic Mitigation Measure for Laguna/Market/Hermann/Guerrero Streets Intersection (LOS D to LOS E PM peak-hour)	Not applicable: plan level mitigation required by San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA). In addition, project does not result in material change in the LOS or increase delay during the PM peak-hour of this intersection.	N/A
D5: Traffic Mitigation Measure for Market/Church/ Fourteenth Streets Intersection (LOS E to LOS E with increased delay PM peak hour)	Not applicable: plan level mitigation required by SFMTA. In addition, project does not result in material change in the LOS or increase delay during the PM peak-hour of this intersection.	N/A
E. Air Quality		
E1: Construction Mitigation Measure for Particulate Emissions	Not Applicable: the project would comply with the San Francisco Dust Control Ordinance.	N/A
E2: Construction Mitigation Measure for Short-Term Exhaust Emissions	Applicable: the proposed project would require diesel construction equipment.	The project sponsor has agreed to implement Mitigation Measure E2, which would reduce exhaust emissions from construction equipment.
F. Hazardous Materials		
F1: Program or Project Level Mitigation Measures	Not applicable: this mitigation measure has been superseded by the San Francisco Dust Control Ordinance and State Asbestos Airborne Toxic Control Measures (ATCM) for Construction, Grading, Quarrying, and Surface Mining Operations.	N/A
G. Geology, Soils, and Seismicity		
G1: Construction Related Soils Mitigation Measure	Not Applicable: the proposed project involves minimal earth-moving construction activities	N/A

Please see the attached Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) for the complete text of the applicable mitigation measures. With implementation of these mitigation measures, the proposed project would not result in significant impacts beyond those analyzed in the Market and Octavia PEIR.

PUBLIC NOTICE AND COMMENT

A "Notification of Project Receiving Environmental Review" was mailed on September 24, 2015 to adjacent occupants and owners of properties within 300 feet of the project site. No comments from the public were received.

CONCLUSION

As summarized above and further discussed in the Community Plan Exemption (CPE) Checklist⁶:

1. The proposed project is consistent with the development density established for the project site in the Market and Octavia Area Plan⁷;
2. The proposed project would not result in effects on the environment that are peculiar to the project or the project site that were not identified as significant effects in the Market and Octavia PEIR;
3. The proposed project would not result in potentially significant off-site or cumulative impacts that were not identified in the Market and Octavia PEIR;
4. The proposed project would not result in significant effects, which, as a result of substantial new information that was not known at the time the Market and Octavia PEIR was certified, would be more severe than were already analyzed and disclosed in the PEIR; and
5. The project sponsor will undertake feasible mitigation measures specified in the Market and Octavia PEIR to mitigate project-related significant impacts.

Therefore, the proposed project is exempt from further environmental review pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21083.3 and CEQA Guidelines Section 15183.

⁶ The CPE Checklist is available for review at the Planning Department, 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, in Case File No. 2015-012300ENV.

⁷ The proposed project includes interior and exterior improvements to an existing legal, non-complying structure.

**Attachment A:
 MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
 (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Report Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
MITIGATION MEASURES FROM THE MARKET AND OCTAVIA AREA PLAN EIR				
Project Mitigation Measure 1 – Archaeological Testing (Mitigation Measure C2 of the Market and Octavia PEIR)				
<p>Based on a reasonable presumption that archaeological resources may be present on the project site, the following measures shall be undertaken to avoid any potentially significant adverse effect from the proposed project on buried or submerged historical resources. The project sponsor shall retain the services of an archaeological consultant from the rotational Department Qualified Archaeological Consultants List (QACL) maintained by the Planning Department archaeologist. The project sponsor shall contact the Planning Department archaeologist to obtain the names and contact information for the next three archaeological consultants on the QACL. The archaeological consultant shall undertake an archaeological testing program as specified herein. In addition, the consultant shall be available to conduct an archaeological monitoring and/or data recovery program if required pursuant to this measure. The archaeological consultant's work shall be conducted in accordance with this measure at the direction of the ERO. All plans and reports prepared by the consultant as specified herein shall be submitted first and directly to the ERO for review and comment, and shall be considered draft reports subject to revision until final approval by the ERO. Archaeological monitoring and/or data recovery programs required by this measure could suspend construction of the project for up to a maximum of 4 weeks. At the direction of the ERO, the suspension of construction can be extended beyond 4 weeks only if such a suspension is the only feasible means to reduce to a less-than-significant level potential effects on a significant archaeological resource as defined in CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5 (a)(c).</p>	Project sponsor	Prior to issuance of grading or building permit	Project sponsor to retain archaeological consultant to undertake archaeological monitoring program consultation with ERO	Complete when project sponsor retains a qualified archaeological consultant

**Attachment A:
 MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
 (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Report Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p><u>Consultation with Descendant Communities.</u> On discovery of an archaeological site¹ associated with descendant Native Americans, the Overseas Chinese, or other descendant group, an appropriate representative² of the descendant group and the ERO shall be contacted. The representative of the descendant group shall be given the opportunity to monitor archaeological field investigations of the site, and to consult with ERO regarding appropriate archaeological treatment of the site; of recovered data from the site; and if applicable, any interpretive treatment of the associated archaeological site. A copy of the Final Archaeological Resources Report shall be provided to the representative of the descendant group.</p>	<p>Project sponsor, archaeological consultant in consultation with any individual listed in the current Native American Contact List and Chinese Historical Society of America</p>	<p>In the event of a discovery of an archaeological site associated with the descendant Native Americans or Overseas Chinese</p>	<p>Archaeological consultant and ERO</p>	<p>Considered complete upon notification of appropriate organization and implementation of any further mitigation as advised</p>
<p><u>Archaeological Testing Program.</u> The archaeological consultant shall prepare and submit to the ERO for review and approval an archaeological testing plan (ATP). The archaeological testing program shall be conducted in accordance with the approved ATP. The ATP shall identify the property types of the expected archaeological resource(s) that potentially could be adversely affected by the proposed project; the testing method to be used; and the locations recommended for testing. The purpose of the archaeological testing program will be to determine to the extent possible the presence or absence of archaeological resources and to identify and to evaluate whether any archaeological resource encountered on the site constitutes an historical resource under CEQA.</p> <p>At the completion of the archaeological testing program, the archaeological consultant shall submit a written report of the findings to the ERO. If, based on the archaeological testing program, the</p>	<p>Project sponsor, archaeological consultant at the direction of ERO</p>	<p>If ERO determination a significant archaeological resource is present and could be adversely affected by the proposed project</p>	<p>Project sponsor, archaeological consultant and ERO</p>	<p>Considered complete on findings by ERO</p>

¹ The term "archaeological site" is intended to minimally include any archaeological deposit, feature, burial, or evidence of burial.
² An "appropriate representative" of the descendant group is defined, in the case of Native Americans, as any individual listed in the current Native American Contact List for the City and County of San Francisco maintained by the California Native American Heritage Commission; and in the case of the Overseas Chinese, the Chinese Historical Society of America. An appropriate representative of other descendant groups should be determined in consultation with the Planning Department archaeologist.

**Attachment A:
 MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
 (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Report Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>archaeological consultant finds that significant archaeological resources may be present, the ERO, in consultation with the archaeological consultant, shall determine if additional measures are warranted. Additional measures that may be undertaken include additional archaeological testing, archaeological monitoring, and/or an archaeological data recovery program. No archaeological data recovery shall be undertaken without the prior approval of the ERO or the Planning Department archaeologist. If the ERO determines that a significant archaeological resource is present and that the resource could be adversely affected by the proposed project, at the discretion of the project sponsor, either:</p> <p>A) The proposed project shall be re-designed so as to avoid any adverse effect on the significant archaeological resource; or</p> <p>B) A data recovery program shall be implemented, unless the ERO determines that the archaeological resource is of greater interpretive than research significance, and that interpretive use of the resource is feasible.</p>	<p>Project sponsor, archaeological consultant, archaeological monitor, contractor(s) at the direction of the ERO</p>	<p>ERO and archaeological consultant meet prior to commencement of soil-disturbing activity. Monitor throughout all soil-disturbing activities</p>	<p>Archaeological consultant and ERO</p>	<p>Considered complete on findings by ERO that AMP implemented</p>
<p><u>Archaeological Monitoring Program.</u> If the ERO, in consultation with the archaeological consultant, determines that an archaeological monitoring program shall be implemented, the archaeological monitoring program shall minimally include the following provisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The archaeological consultant, project sponsor, and ERO shall meet and consult on the scope of the AMP reasonably prior to the commencement of any project-related soils-disturbing activities. The ERO, in consultation with the archaeological consultant, shall determine which project activities shall be archaeologically monitored. In most cases, any soils-disturbing activities, such as demolition, foundation removal, excavation, grading, utilities 				

Attachment A: MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures)				
MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Report Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>installation, foundation work, driving of piles (foundation, shoring, etc.), or site remediation shall require archaeological monitoring because of the risk these activities pose to potential archaeological resources and to their depositional context.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The archaeological consultant shall advise all project contractors to be on the alert for evidence of the presence of the expected resource(s), of how to identify the evidence of the expected resource(s), and of the appropriate protocol in the event of apparent discovery of an archaeological resource. The archaeological monitor(s) shall be present on the project site according to a schedule agreed upon by the archaeological consultant and the ERO until the ERO has, in consultation with the project archaeological consultant, determined that project construction activities could have no effects on significant archaeological deposits. The archaeological monitor shall record and be authorized to collect soil samples and artifactual/ecofactual material as warranted for analysis. If an intact archaeological deposit is encountered, all soils-disturbing activities in the vicinity of the deposit shall cease. The archaeological monitor shall be empowered to temporarily redirect demolition/excavation/pile-driving/construction activities and equipment until the deposit is evaluated. If, in the case of pile-driving activity (foundation, shoring, etc.), the archaeological monitor has cause to believe that the pile-driving activity may affect an archaeological resource, the pile-driving activity shall be terminated until an appropriate evaluation of the resource has been made, in consultation with the ERO. The archaeological consultant 	<p>Archaeological consultant</p> <p>Archaeological consultant</p> <p>Archaeological consultant</p> <p>Archaeological consultant</p>	<p>Discovery of archaeological deposit</p>	<p>Archaeological consultant and ERO</p> <p>Archaeological consultant and ERO</p> <p>Archaeological consultant and ERO</p> <p>Archaeological consultant and ERO</p>	<p></p> <p></p> <p></p> <p></p>

**Attachment A:
 MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
 (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Report Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>shall immediately notify the ERO of the encountered archaeological deposit. The archaeological consultant shall make a reasonable effort to assess the identity, integrity, and significance of the encountered archaeological deposit, and present the findings of this assessment to the ERO.</p> <p>Whether or not significant archaeological resources are encountered, the archaeological consultant shall submit a written report of the findings of the monitoring program to the ERO.</p>	<p>Archaeological consultant at the direction of the ERO</p>	<p>If there is determination by the ERO that ADRP is required</p>	<p>Archaeological consultant and ERO</p>	
<p><u>Archaeological Data Recovery Program.</u> The archaeological data recovery program shall be conducted in accordance with an archaeological data recovery plan (ADRP). The archaeological consultant, project sponsor, and ERO shall meet and consult on the scope of the ADRP prior to preparation of a draft ADRP. The archaeological consultant shall submit a draft ADRP to the ERO. The ADRP shall identify how the proposed data recovery program will preserve the significant information the archaeological resource is expected to contain. The ADRP will identify what scientific/historical research questions are applicable to the expected resource, what data classes the resource is expected to possess, and how the expected data classes would address the applicable research questions. Data recovery, in general, should be limited to the portions of the historical property that could be adversely affected by the proposed project. Destructive data recovery methods shall not be applied to portions of the archaeological resources if nondestructive methods are practical.</p> <p>The scope of the ADRP shall include the following elements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Field Methods and Procedures.</i> Descriptions of proposed field strategies, procedures, and operations. 				

Attachment A: MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures)				
MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Report Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Cataloguing and Laboratory Analysis.</i> Description of selected cataloguing system and artifact analysis procedures. • <i>Discard and De-accession Policy.</i> Description of and rationale for field and post-field discard and de-accession policies. • <i>Interpretive Program.</i> Consideration of an onsite/offsite public interpretive program during the course of the archaeological data recovery program. • <i>Security Measures.</i> Recommended security measures to protect the archaeological resource from vandalism, looting, and non-intentionally damaging activities. • <i>Final Report.</i> Description of proposed report format and distribution of results. • <i>Curation.</i> Description of the procedures and recommendations for the curation of any recovered data having potential research value, identification of appropriate curation facilities, and a summary of the accession policies of the curation facilities. 				
<p><u>Human Remains and Associated or Unassociated Funerary Objects.</u> The treatment of human remains and of associated or unassociated funerary objects discovered during any soils-disturbing activity shall comply with applicable state and federal laws. This shall include immediate notification of the Coroner of the City and County of San Francisco; and in the event of the Coroner's determination that the human remains are Native American remains, notification of the California State Native American Heritage Commission, who shall appoint a Most Likely Descendant (MLD) (Pub. Res. Code Sec. 5097.98). The archaeological consultant, project sponsor, and MLD</p>	Project sponsor, archaeological consultant in consultation with the San Francisco Coroner, NAHC, and MLD	In the event human remains and/or funerary objects are encountered	Archaeological consultant and ERO	

**Attachment A:
 MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM
 (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures)**

MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Report Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
<p>shall make all reasonable efforts to develop an agreement for the treatment of, with appropriate dignity, human remains and associated or unassociated funerary objects (CEQA Guidelines, Section 15064.5[d]). The agreement should take into consideration the appropriate excavation, removal, recordation, analysis, custodianship, curation, and final disposition of the human remains and associated or unassociated funerary objects.</p>				
<p><u>Final Archaeological Resources Report.</u> The archaeological consultant shall submit a Draft Final Archaeological Resources Report (FARR) to the ERO that evaluates the historical significance of any discovered archaeological resource and describes the archaeological and historical research methods employed in the archaeological testing/monitoring/data recovery program(s) undertaken. Information that may put at risk any archaeological resource shall be provided in a separate removable insert in the final report.</p> <p>Once approved by the ERO, copies of the FARR shall be distributed as follows: California Archaeological Site Survey Northwest Information Center (NWIC) shall receive one copy, and the ERO shall receive a copy of the transmittal of the FARR to the NWIC. The Environmental Planning division of the Planning Department shall receive one bound, one unbound, and one unlocked, searchable PDF copy on CD of the FARR, along with copies of any formal site recordation forms (CA DPR 523 series) and/or documentation for nomination to the National Register of Historic Places/CRHR. In instances of high public interest in or the high interpretive value of the resource, the ERO may require a different final report content, format, and distribution than that presented above.</p>	<p>Project sponsor, archaeological consultant at the direction of the ERO</p>	<p>After completion of archaeological data recovery, inventorying, analysis and interpretation</p>	<p>Submit a draft FARR</p>	<p>Considered complete on submittal of FARR</p>

Attachment A: MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM (Includes Text for Adopted Mitigation Measures)				
MEASURES ADOPTED AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL	Responsibility for Implementation	Schedule	Monitoring/Report Responsibility	Status/Date Completed
Project Mitigation Measure 2 – Construction Air Quality (Mitigation Measure E2 of the Market and Octavia PEIR)				
<p>To reduce project level exhaust emissions from construction equipment, the following mitigation measures shall be implemented for construction activities in the project area.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Confine idle time of combustion engine construction equipment at construction site to five minutes. • Maintain and properly tune construction equipment in accordance to manufacturer’s specifications. • Use alternative fueled or electrical construction equipment at the project site when feasible. • Use the minimum practical engine size for construction equipment. • Equip gasoline-powered construction equipment with catalytic converters when feasible. 	<p>Project sponsor/ contractor(s).</p>	<p>During construction activities.</p>	<p>Department of Building Inspection (DBI).</p>	<p>Maintain on-site observations as warranted; review daily field reports and inspect construction; prepare daily field and monthly compliance reports and submit to the DBI. Compliance through site permit process. DBI to monitor during construction.</p>